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## Hidden Markov models

- Hidden Markov model = doubly stochastic finite automaton

States: $\mathbf{S}=\left\{s_{i}\right\}$
Observations: $\mathbf{O}=\left\{o_{k}\right\}$
Stochastic transitions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}=\left\{a_{i j}\right\} \\
& a_{i j}=P\left(s_{t+1}=j \mid s_{t}=i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Observation model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{B}=\left\{b_{j}(k)\right\} \\
& b_{j}(k)=P\left(o_{t}=k \mid s_{t}=j\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Initial state distribution

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi}=\left\{\pi_{i}\right\} \quad \pi_{i}=P\left(s_{1}=i\right)
$$



## Hidden Markov models

- Graphical model representation (dynamic Bayesian belief network)

- Three problems:

1. Given an observation sequence and the model compute the probability of the sequence
2. Given the observation sequence compute the most likely (ML) hidden state sequence
3. Learning of parameters of HMM (ML parameter estimate)

## Inference in HMMs

- Let $O=\left\{O_{1}, O_{2}, . . O_{T}\right\} \quad$ be a sequence of T observations
- Let $S=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, . . S_{T}\right\} \quad$ be a sequence of internal states

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(O \mid S, \lambda)=b_{s_{1}}\left(O_{1}\right) b_{s_{s_{2}}}\left(O_{2}\right) \ldots b_{s_{T}}\left(O_{T}\right) \\
& P(S \mid \lambda)=\pi_{s_{1}} a_{s_{1} s_{2}} a_{s_{2} s_{3} \ldots} \ldots a_{s_{T_{T}-s_{T}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then: $P(O \mid \lambda)=\sum_{S} P(O \mid S, \lambda) P(S \mid \lambda)$

## Problem:

- The number of all possible sequences $S$ is too large
- The exhaustive algorithm is exponential in $T$


## HMM inference. Forward algorithm

Better solution: decompose the computation along the time

- Let $\alpha_{t}(i)=P\left(O_{1}, O_{2}, . . O_{t}, s_{t}=i \mid \lambda\right)$

Algorithm:

1. $\quad \alpha_{1}(i)=\pi_{i} b_{i}\left(O_{1}\right)$ for all $i$
2. Repeat for $t=2,3, \ldots T-1$ and all $j$

$$
\alpha_{t+1}(j)=\left[\sum_{i} \alpha_{t}(i) a_{i j}\right]_{i} b_{j}\left(O_{t+1}\right)
$$

3. Then $P(O \mid \lambda)=\sum_{i} \alpha_{T}(i)$

This is called forward algorithm

- Implements a dynamic programming approach
- It is polynomial in the number of states and steps


## HMM inference. Backward algorithm

- We can do the computation backward in time as well
- Let $\beta_{t}(i)=P\left(O_{t+1}, O_{t+2}, . . O_{T} \mid s_{t}=i, \lambda\right)$


## Backward algorithm :

1. $\quad \beta_{T}(i)=1 \quad$ for all $i$
2. Repeat for $t=T-1, T-2, \ldots l$ and for all $i$

$$
\beta_{t}(i)=\sum_{j} a_{i j} b_{j}\left(O_{t+1}(j)\right) \beta_{t+1}(j)
$$

3. Then $P(O \mid \pi)=\sum_{i} \pi_{i} \beta_{1}(i)$

- The algorithm
- is polynomial in the number of states and steps


## Finding the most likely sequence

- Goal: compute the most likely sequence of states
- Problem: How to define the most likely sequence?
- Solution 1: piece together most likely states
- A probability of being in state $i$ at time $t$, given the complete observation sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{t}(i)=P\left(s_{t}=i \mid O, \lambda\right)=\frac{P\left(s_{t}=i, O \mid \lambda\right)}{P(O \mid \lambda)} \\
& \gamma_{t}(i)=\frac{\alpha_{t}(i) \beta_{t}(i)}{P(O \mid \lambda)} \begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{t}(i)=P\left(O_{1}, O_{2}, . . O_{t}, s_{t}=i \mid \lambda\right) \\
\beta_{t}(i)=P\left(O_{t+1}, O_{t+2}, . . O_{T} \mid s_{t}=i, \lambda\right)
\end{array}, \underset{i}{\arg \max \gamma_{t}(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Problem: what if the transition between the two most likely states is 0 ?


## Viterbi algorithm

- Goal: compute the most likely sequence of states
- Solution 2: Find the best "continuous" sequence of states
- Optimize with regard to:

$$
P(O, S \mid \lambda)
$$

- Can be solved by dynamic programming
- Similar to the forward algorithm
- Viterbi algorithm used frequently in the speech recognition


## Viterbi algorithm

- Initialization: (for all i) $\delta_{1}(i)=\pi_{i} b_{i}\left(O_{1}\right)$

$$
\psi_{1}(i)=0
$$

- Recursion (for all j) $\delta_{t}(j)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left|\delta_{t-1} a_{i j}\right| b_{j}\left(O_{t}\right)$

$$
\psi_{t}(j)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left\lfloor\delta_{t-1} a_{i j}\right\rfloor
$$

- Termination

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{*}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left[\delta_{T}\right] \\
& s_{T}{ }^{*}=\underset{1 \leq i \leq N}{\arg \max }\left[\delta_{T}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Sequence Recovery (T-1, ..., 1)

$$
s_{t} *=\psi_{t+1}\left[s_{t+1} *\right]
$$

## HMM learning

- Learning with hidden variables (the same idea as used in EM)
- Baum-Welch algorithm is a special case of EM
- Find the ML set of parameters, maximizing $P(O \mid \lambda)$
- HMM re-estimation step (one cycle of EM):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{t}(i)=P\left(s_{t}=i \mid O, \lambda\right) \quad \xi_{t}(i, j)=P\left(s_{t}=i, s_{t+1}=j \mid O, \lambda\right) \\
& \gamma_{t}(i)=\frac{\alpha_{t}(i) \beta_{t}(i)}{P(O \mid \lambda)} \quad \xi_{t}(i, j)=\frac{\alpha_{t}(i) a_{i j} b_{j}\left(O_{t+1}\right) \beta_{t+1}(i)}{P(O \mid \lambda)} \\
& \tilde{\pi}_{i}=\gamma_{1}(i) \quad \tilde{a}_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \xi_{t}(i, j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(i)} \quad \widetilde{b}_{j}(k)=\frac{\sum_{t=1, O_{t} t}^{T} \gamma_{t}(j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(j)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## HMMs in practice

- HMMs have been widely used in various contexts
- Speech recognition (single word recognition)
- words correspond to sequences of observations
- we estimate a HMM for each word
- the output model is a mixture of Gaussians over spectral features
- Bio-sequence analysis
- a single HMM model for each type of protein (sequence of amino acids)
- gene identication (parsing the genome)
- etc.

