CS 2750 Machine Learning
Lecture 8

Classification learning II

Milos Hauskrecht
milos@cs.pitt.edu
5329 Sennott Square

Logistic regression model

- **Defines a linear decision boundary**
- **Discriminant functions:**

$$g_1(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) \qquad g_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - g(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$$

- **where** $g(z) = 1/(1+e^{-z})$ - is a logistic function

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = g_1(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$$



Logistic regression model. Decision boundary

- **LR defines a linear decision boundary**

  Example: 2 classes (blue and red points)



Logistic regression: parameter learning

- **Log likelihood**

$$l(D, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \log \mu_i + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \mu_i)$$

- **Derivatives of the loglikelihood**

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} l(D, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -x_{i,j}(y_i - g(z_i))$$

Nonlinear in weights !!

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} - l(D, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\mathbf{x}_i(y_i - g(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\mathbf{x}_i(y_i - f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i))$$

- **Gradient descent:**

$$\mathbf{w}^{(k)} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{(k-1)} - \alpha(k) \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} [-l(D, \mathbf{w})] \big|_{\mathbf{w}^{(k-1)}}$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{(k)} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{(k-1)} + \alpha(k) \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_i - f(\mathbf{w}^{(k-1)}, \mathbf{x}_i)] \mathbf{x}_i$$

Generative approach to classification

Logistic regression: **learns a model of** $p(y \mid \mathbf{x})$

Generative approach:

1. **Represents and learns the joint distribution** $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$
2. **Uses it to define probabilistic discriminant functions**

   E.g. $g_o(\mathbf{x}) = p(y = 0 \mid \mathbf{x})$ $\quad g_1(\mathbf{x}) = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x})$

Typical joint model $p(\mathbf{x}, y) = p(\mathbf{x} \mid y)\, p(y)$



- $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y)$ = **Class-conditional distributions (densities)**

  binary classification: two class-conditional distributions

  $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y = 0)$ $\quad$ $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y = 1)$

- $p(y)$ = **Priors on classes** - probability of class y

  binary classification: Bernoulli distribution

  $p(y = 0) + p(y = 1) = 1$

Quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA)

Model:



- **Class-conditional distributions**
  - **multivariate normal distributions**

    $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)$ for $y = 0$

    $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)$ for $y = 1$

  Multivariate normal $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right]$$

- **Priors on classes (class 0,1)** $\quad y \sim Bernoulli$
  - **Bernoulli distribution**

    $p(y, \theta) = \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{1-y}$ $\quad$ $y \in \{0,1\}$

Learning Quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA)

- **Learning Class-conditional distributions**
  - **Learn parameters of 2 multivariate normal distributions**



$$\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) \quad \text{for} \quad y = 0$$
$$\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \quad \text{for} \quad y = 1$$

  - **Use the density estimation methods**

- **Learning Priors on classes (class 0,1)** $y \sim Bernoulli$
  - **Learn the parameter of the Bernoulli distribution**
  - **Again use the density estimation methods**

$$p(y, \theta) = \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{1-y} \qquad y \in \{0,1\}$$

QDA



2 Gaussian class-conditional densities



QDA: Making class decision

Basically we need to design discriminant functions

- **Posterior of a class** – choose the class with better posterior probability

$$\underbrace{p(y=1\,|\,\mathbf{x})}_{g_1(\mathbf{x})} > \underbrace{p(y=0\,|\,\mathbf{x})}_{g_0(\mathbf{x})} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l}\text{then } y=1 \\ \text{else } y=0\end{array}$$

$$p(y=1\,|\,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\mu_1,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)\,p(y=1)}{p(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\mu_0,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)\,p(y=0) + p(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\mu_1,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)\,p(y=1)}$$

- **It is sufficient to compare:**

$$p(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\mu_1,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)\,p(y=1) > p(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\mu_0,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)\,p(y=0)$$

QDA: Quadratic decision boundary



QDA: Quadratic decision boundary

- **Lets us model a quadratic decision boundary**



Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)

- When covariances are the same

$$\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),\ y = 0$$
$$\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),\ y = 1$$



LDA: Linear decision boundary



LDA: linear decision boundary



Generative approach to classification

Logistic regression: **learns a model of** $p(y \mid \mathbf{x})$

Generative approach:

1. **Represents and learns the joint distribution** $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$
2. **Uses it to define probabilistic discriminant functions**

$$g_o(\mathbf{x}) = p(y = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}) \qquad g_1(\mathbf{x}) = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x})$$

Typical joint model $p(\mathbf{x}, y) = p(\mathbf{x} \mid y)\, p(y)$

- $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y)$ = **Class-conditional distributions (densities)**

  binary classification: two class-conditional distributions

  $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y = 0)$ $\qquad$ $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y = 1)$

- $p(y)$ = **Priors on classes** - probability of class y

  binary classification: Bernoulli distribution

  $p(y = 0) + p(y = 1) = 1$



Naïve Bayes classifier

- **A generative classifier model with additional simplifying assumptions:**
  - **All input attributes are conditionally independent of each other given the class**.

  So we have:

$$p(\mathbf{x}, y) = p(\mathbf{x} \mid y)\, p(y)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid y) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i \mid y)$$



Learning parameters of the model

Much simpler density estimation problems

- We need to learn:

  $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y = 0)$ and $p(\mathbf{x} \mid y = 1)$ and $p(y)$

- Because of the assumption of the conditional independence we need to learn:

  for every variable i: $p(x_i \mid y = 0)$ and $p(x_i \mid y = 1)$

- **Much easier if the number of input attributes is large**
- **Also, the model gives us a flexibility to represent input attributes of different forms !!!**
- E.g. one attribute can be modeled using the Bernoulli, the other using Gaussian density, or as a Poisson distribution

Making a class decision for the Naïve Bayes

Discriminant functions

- **Posterior of a class** – choose the class with better posterior probability

$$\underbrace{p(y=1|\mathbf{x})}_{g_1(\mathbf{x})} > \underbrace{p(y=0|\mathbf{x})}_{g_0(\mathbf{x})} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l}\text{then } y=1 \\ \text{else } y=0\end{array}$$

$$p(y=1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i|\Theta_{1,i})\right)p(y=1)}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i|\Theta_{1,i})\right)p(y=0)+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i|\Theta_{2,i})\right)p(y=1)}$$

Back to logistic regression

- **Two models with linear decision boundaries:**
  - **Logistic regression**
  - **Generative model with 2 Gaussians with the same covariance matrices**

$$x \sim N(\mu_0, \Sigma) \quad \text{for} \quad y=0$$
$$x \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma) \quad \text{for} \quad y=1$$

- **Two models are related !!!**
  - When we have **2 Gaussians with the same covariance matrix** the probability of y given **x** has the form of a logistic regression model **!!!**

$$p(y=1|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = g(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$$

When is the logistic regression model correct?

- **Members of the exponential family can be often more naturally described as**

$$f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = h(x, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \exp\left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x} - A(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{a(\boldsymbol{\varphi})} \right\}$$

$\boldsymbol{\theta}$ - A location parameter $\quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ - A scale parameter

- **Claim:** A logistic regression is a correct model when class conditional densities are from the same distribution in the exponential family and have **the same scale factor** $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
- **Very powerful result !!!!**
  - **We can represent posteriors of many distributions with the same small network**

Linear units

Linear regression

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$



Gradient update:

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i)) \mathbf{x}_i$$

Online: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha (y - f(\mathbf{x})) \mathbf{x}$

The same

Logistic regression

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = g(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$



Gradient update:

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i)) \mathbf{x}_i$$

Online: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha (y - f(\mathbf{x})) \mathbf{x}$

Gradient-based learning

- The **same simple gradient update rule** derived for both the linear and logistic regression models
- Where the magic comes from?
- Under the **log-likelihood** measure the function models and the models for the output selection fit together:
  - **Linear model + Gaussian noise**

$$y = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon \qquad \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$



  - **Logistic + Bernoulli**

$$y = \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$$

$$\theta = p(y=1 \mid \mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$$



Generalized linear models (GLIM)

Assumptions:

- The conditional mean (expectation) is:

$$\mu = f(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$$

  - Where $f(.)$ is a **response function**
- Output y is characterized by an exponential family distribution with a conditional mean μ

Examples:

  - **Linear model + Gaussian noise**

$$y = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon \qquad \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$



  - **Logistic + Bernoulli**

$$y \approx \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$$

$$\theta = g(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}}}$$



Generalized linear models

- **A canonical response functions $f(.)$:**
  - **encoded in the distribution**

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = h(x, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \exp\left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x} - A(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{a(\boldsymbol{\varphi})} \right\}$$

- **Leads to a simple gradient form**
- **Example: Bernoulli distribution**

$$p(x \mid \mu) = \mu^x (1-\mu)^{1-x} = \exp\left\{ \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \right) x + \log(1-\mu) \right\}$$

$$\theta = \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \right) \qquad \mu = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\theta}}$$

  - **Logistic function matches the Bernoulli**

When does the logistic regression fail?

- Quadratic decision boundary is needed



When does the logistic regression fail?

- Another example of a non-linear decision boundary



Non-linear extension of logistic regression

- use **feature (basis) functions** to model **nonlinearities**
  - the same trick as used for the linear regression

Linear regression

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x})$$

Logistic regression

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = g(w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}))$$

$\phi_j(\mathbf{x})$ - an arbitrary function of $\mathbf{x}$



Evaluation of classifiers

Evaluation

For any data set we use to test the classification model on we can build a **confusion matrix:**

- Counts of examples with:
- class label ω_j that are classified with a label α_i

		target	
		$\omega = 1$	$\omega = 0$
predict	$\alpha = 1$	140	17
	$\alpha = 0$	20	54

Evaluation

For any data set we use to test the model we can build a **confusion matrix:**

		target $\omega = 1$	target $\omega = 0$
predict	$\alpha = 1$	140	17
	$\alpha = 0$	20	54

agreement

Error: ?

Evaluation

For any data set we use to test the model we can build a confusion matrix:

		target $\omega = 1$	target $\omega = 0$
predict	$\alpha = 1$	140	17
	$\alpha = 0$	20	54

agreement

Error: = 37/231

Accuracy = 1- Error = 194/231

Evaluation for binary classification

Entries in the confusion matrix for binary classification have names:

		target $\omega = 1$	target $\omega = 0$
predict	$\alpha = 1$	TP	FP
	$\alpha = 0$	FN	TN

TP: True positive (hit)
FP: False positive (false alarm)
TN: True negative (correct rejection)
FN: False negative (a miss)

Additional statistics

- **Sensitivity (recall)** $SENS = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$

		target $\omega = 1$	target $\omega = 0$
predict	$\alpha = 1$	TP	FP
	$\alpha = 0$	FN	TN

Additional statistics

- **Sensitivity (recall)**

$$SENS = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

- **Specificity**

$$SPEC = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

- **Positive predictive value (precision)**

$$PPT = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

- **Negative predictive value**

$$NPV = \frac{TN}{TN + FN}$$

Binary classification: additional statistics

- **Confusion matrix**

		target 1	target 0	
predict	1	140	10	PPV=140/150
	0	20	180	NPV=180/200
		$SENS$=140/160	$SPEC$=180/190	

Row and column quantities:

- Sensitivity (SENS)
- Specificity (SPEC)
- Positive predictive value (PPV)
- Negative predictive value (NPV)

Classifiers

Project datapoints to one dimensional space:

Defined for example by: $\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}$ or $p(y=1|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{w})$



Binary decisions: Receiver Operating Curves



- **Probabilities:**
  - *SENS* $p(x > x^* \mid \mathbf{x} \in \omega_2)$
  - *SPEC* $p(x < x^* \mid \mathbf{x} \in \omega_1)$

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC)

- **ROC curve plots :**

SN= $p(x > x^* \mid \mathbf{x} \in \omega_2)$

1-SP= $p(x > x^* \mid \mathbf{x} \in \omega_1)$

for different x*



SENS

$p(x > x^* \mid \mathbf{x} \in \omega_2)$



1-SPEC $p(x > x^* \mid \mathbf{x} \in \omega_1)$

ROC curve



Receiver operating characteristic

- **ROC**
  - shows the discriminability between the two classes under different decision biases
- **Decision bias**
  - can be changed using different loss function
- **Quality of a classification model:**
  - Area under the ROC
  - Best value 1, worst (no discriminability): 0.5