CS 2750 Machine Learning Lecture 24 I. Decision trees II. Ensamble methods: Mixtures of experts Milos Hauskrecht <u>milos@cs.pitt.edu</u> 5329 Sennott Square

CS 2750 Machine Learning **Schedule** Exam: • April 18, 2007 Term projects & project presentations: • April 25, 2007 • At 1:00-4:00pm in SNSQ 5313 No class: on April 23, 2007 • CS 2750 Machine Learning







CS 2750 Machine Learning



Let |D| - Total number of data entries

 $|D_i|$ - Number of data entries classified as *i*

 $p_i = \frac{|D_i|}{|D|}$ - ratio of instances classified as *i*

- **Impurity measure** defines how well are the classes in the training dataset separated
- In general the impurity measure should satisfy:
 - Largest when data are split evenly to classes

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\text{number of classes}}$$

- Should be 0 when all data belong to the same class

CS 2750 Machine Learning





Decision tree learning

• Greedy learning algorithm:

Repeat until no or small improvement in the purity

- Find the attribute with the highest gain
- Add the attribute to the tree and split the set accordingly
- Builds the tree in the top-down fashion
 - Gradually expands the leaves of the partially built tree
- The method is greedy
 - It looks at a single attribute and gain in each step
 - May fail when the combination of attributes is needed to improve the purity (parity functions)

CS 2750 Machine Learning



Decision tree learning

By reducing the impurity measure we can grow **very large trees Problem: Overfitting**

• We may split and classify very well the training set, but we may do worse in terms of the generalization error

Solutions to the overfitting problem:

- Solution 1.
 - Prune branches of the tree built in the first phase
 - Use internal validation set to test for the overfit
- Solution 2.
 - Test for the overfit in the tree building phase
 - Stop building the tree when performance on the validation set deteriorates

CS 2750 Machine Learning









Learning mixture of experts Gradient learning. On-line update rule for parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$ of expert i– If we know the expert that is responsible for \mathbf{x} $\theta_{ij} \leftarrow \theta_{ij} + \alpha_{ij} (y - \mu_i) x_j$ – If we do not know the expert $\theta_{ij} \leftarrow \theta_{ij} + \alpha_{ij} h_i (y - \mu_i) x_j$ h_i - responsibility of the *i*th expert = a kind of posterior $h_i(\mathbf{x}, y) = \frac{g_i(\mathbf{x}) p(y | \mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \mathbf{\theta})}{\sum_{u=1}^k g_u(\mathbf{x}) p(y | \mathbf{x}, \omega_u, \mathbf{\theta})} = \frac{g_i(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-1/2 \|y - \mu_i\|^2)}{\sum_{u=1}^k g_u(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-1/2 \|y - \mu_u\|^2)}$ $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ - a prior $\exp(...)$ - a likelihood CS 2750 Machine Learning

Learning mixtures of experts

Gradient methods

On-line learning of gating network parameters η,

 $\eta_{ij} \leftarrow \eta_{ij} + \beta_{ij} (h_i(\mathbf{x}, y) - g_i(\mathbf{x})) x_j$

- The learning with conditioned mixtures can be extended to learning of parameters of an **arbitrary expert network**
 - e.g. logistic regression, multilayer neural network

$$\theta_{ij} \leftarrow \theta_{ij} + \beta_{ij} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_{ij}}$$
$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_{ij}} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu_i} \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \theta_{ij}} = h_i \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \theta_{ij}}$$

CS 2750 Machine Learning

<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block>