CS 1571 Introduction to AI
Lecture 22

Decision making in the presence of uncertainty

Milos Hauskrecht
milos@cs.pitt.edu
5329 Sennott Square

Decision-making in the presence of uncertainty

- Many real-world problems require **to choose future actions in the presence of uncertainty**
- **Examples:** patient management, investment decisions

Main issues:

- **How to model the decision process in the computer ?**
- **How to make decisions about actions in the presence of uncertainty?**

(Stochastic) Decision tree

- **Decision tree:**



- decision node
- chance node
- outcome (value) node

Sequential (multi-step) problems

The decision tree can be build to capture multi-step decision problems:

- Choose an action
- Observe a stochastic outcome
- And repeat

How to make decisions for multi-step problems?

- Start from the leaves of the decision tree (outcome nodes)
- Compute expectations at chance nodes
- Maximize at the decision nodes

Algorithm is sometimes called **expectimax**

Multi-step problem example

Assume:

- Two investment periods
- Two actions: stock and bank



Multi-step problem example

Assume:

- Two investment periods
- Two actions: stock and bank



Multi-step problems. Conditioning.

- Notice that the probability of stock going up and down in the 2nd step is independent of the 1st step (=0.5)



Conditioning in the decision tree

- **But this may not hold in general. In decision trees:**
  - **Later outcomes can be conditioned on the earlier stochastic outcomes and actions**

Example: stock movement probabilities. Assume:

P(1st=up)=0.4
P(2nd=up|1st=up)=0.4
P(2nd=up|1st=down)=0.5



Multi-step problems. Conditioning.

Tree Structure: every observed stochastic outcome = 1 branch

$P(1^{st}=up)=0.4$

$P(2^{nd}=up|1^{st}=up)=0.4$

$P(2^{nd}=up|1^{st}=down)=0.5$



Trajectory payoffs

- **Outcome values at leaf nodes (e.g. monetary values)**
  - **Rewards and costs for the path trajectory**

Example: stock fees and gains. **Assume:**

Fee per period: $5 paid at the beginning

Gain for up: 15%, loss for down 10%



Constructing a decision tree

- **The decision tree is rarely given to you directly.**
  - Part of the problem is to construct the tree.

Example: stocks, bonds, bank for k periods

Stock:

- Probability of stocks going up in the first period: 0.3
- Probability of stocks going up in subsequent periods:
  - P(kth step=Up| (k -1)th step =Up)=0.4
  - P(kth step =Up| (k -1)th step=Down)=0.5
- Return if stock goes up: 15 % if down: 10%
- Fixed fee per investment period: $5

Bonds:

- Probability of value up: 0.5, down: 0.5
- Return if bond value is going up: 7%, if down: 3%
- Fee per investment period: $2

Bank:

- Guaranteed return of 3% per period, no fee

Information-gathering actions

- **Many actions and their outcomes irreversibly change the world**
- **Information-gathering (exploratory) actions:**
  - **make an inquiry about the world**
  - **Key benefit:** reduction in the uncertainty
- **Example: medicine**
  - Assume a patient is admitted to the hospital with some set of initial complaints
  - We are uncertain about the underlying problem and consider a surgery, or a medication to treat them
  - But there are often lab tests or observations that can help us to determine more closely the disease the patient suffers from
  - **Goal of lab tests:** Reduce the uncertainty of outcomes of treatments so that better treatment option can be chosen

Decision-making with exploratory actions

In decision trees:

- **Exploratory actions can be represented and reasoned about the same way as other actions.**

How do we capture the effect of exploratory actions in the decision tree model?

- Information obtained through exploratory actions may affect the probabilities of later outcomes
  - Recall that the probabilities on later outcomes can be conditioned on past observed outcomes and past actions
  - Sequence of past actions and outcomes is "remembered" within the decision tree branch

Oil wildcatter problem.

An oil wildcatter has to make a decision of whether to drill or not to drill on a specific site

- **Chance of hitting an oil deposit:**
  - Oil: 40% $P(Oil = T) = 0.4$
  - No-oil: 60% $P(Oil = F) = 0.6$
- **Cost of drilling:** 70K
- **Payoffs:**
  - Oil: 220K
  - No-oil: 0 K



Oil wildcatter problem.

An oil wildcatter has to make a decision of whether to drill or not to drill on a specific site

- **Chance of hitting an oil deposit:**
  - Oil: 40% $P(Oil = T) = 0.4$
  - No-oil: 60% $P(Oil = F) = 0.6$
- **Cost of drilling:** 70K
- **Payoffs:**
  - Oil: 220K
  - No-oil: 0 K



Oil wildcatter problem

- Assume that in addition to the drill/no-drill choices we have an option to run the **seismic resonance test**
- **Seismic resonance test results:**
  - **Closed pattern** (more likely when the hole holds the oil)
  - **Diffuse pattern** (more likely when it is empty)

P(*Seismic resonance test* | *Oil*)

Seismic resonance test pattern

		closed	*diffuse*
Oil	*True*	0.8	0.2
	False	0.3	0.7

- **Test cost:** 10K

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree**



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Compute outcomes**

Oil: + 220
Drill: - 70
Test: - 10



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Compute outcomes**

Oil: + 220
Drill: - 70
Test: - 10



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Compute outcomes**

Oil: + 220
Drill: - 70
Test: - 10



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Compute outcomes**

Oil: + 220
Drill: - 70
Test: - 10



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Compute probabilities**



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



$$P(Oil = T \mid Test = closed) = ?$$

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



$$P(Oil = T \mid Test = closed) = \frac{P(Test = closed \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)}{P(Test = closed)} = \frac{0.8 * 0.4}{0.8 * 0.4 + 0.6 * 0.2} = 0.64$$

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



$$P(Oil = T \mid Test = closed) = \frac{P(Test = closed \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)}{P(Test = closed)} = \frac{0.8 * 0.4}{0.8 * 0.4 + 0.6 * 0.2} = 0.64$$

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



$$P(Oil = T \mid Test = closed) = \frac{P(Test = closed \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)}{P(Test = closed)} = \frac{0.8 * 0.4}{0.8 * 0.4 + 0.6 * 0.2} = 0.64$$

$$P(Oil = F \mid Test = closed) = \frac{P(Test = closed \mid Oil = F)P(Oil = F)}{P(T = closed)} = 0.36$$

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



$$P(Oil = T \mid Test = closed) = \frac{P(Test = closed \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)}{P(Test = closed)} = \frac{0.8 * 0.4}{0.8 * 0.4 + 0.6 * 0.2} = 0.64$$

$$P(Oil = F \mid Test = closed) = \frac{P(Test = closed \mid Oil = F)P(Oil = F)}{P(T = closed)} = 0.36$$

$$P(Test = closed) = P(Test = closed \mid Oil = F)P(Oil = F) + P(Test = closed \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T) = 0.5$$

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



$$P(Test = closed) = P(Test = closed \mid Oil = F)P(Oil = F) + P(Test = closed \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)$$

$$P(Test = diff) = P(Test = diff \mid Oil = F)P(Oil = F) + P(Test = diff \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)$$

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree probabilities**



$$P(Test = closed) = P(Test = closed \mid Oil = F)P(Oil = F) + P(Test = closed \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)$$

$$P(Test = diff) = P(Test = diff \mid Oil = F)P(Oil = F) + P(Test = diff \mid Oil = T)P(Oil = T)$$

Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree**



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Alternative model**



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree**



Oil wildcatter problem.

- **Decision tree**



The presence of the test and its result affected our decision:

if test =closed then drill

if test=diffuse then do not drill

Value of information

- **When the test makes sense?**
- Only when its result makes the decision maker to change his mind, that is he decides not to drill.
- **Value of information:**
  - Measure of the goodness of the information from the test
  - Difference between the expected value with and without the test information
- **Oil wildcatter example:**
  - Expected value without the test = 18
  - Expected value with the test =25.4
  - Value of information for the seismic test = 7.4

Using utility to measure the outcomes

Selection based on expected values

- **Until now:** The optimal action choice was the option that maximized the expected monetary value.
- **But is the expected monetary value always the quantity we want to optimize?**



Selection based on expected values

- **Is the expected monetary value always the quantity we want to optimize?**
- **Answer:** Yes, but only if we are risk-neutral.

- But what if **we do not like the risk (we are risk-averse)?**
- In that case we may want to get the premium for undertaking the risk (of loosing the money)
- **Example:**
  - we may prefer to get $101 for sure against $102 in expectation but with the risk of loosing the money
- **Problem:** How to model decisions and account for the risk?
- **Solution:** use **utility function, and utility theory**

Utility function

- **Utility function (denoted U)**
  - Quantifies how we "value" outcomes, i.e., it reflects our preferences
  - Can be also applied to "value" outcomes other than money and gains (e.g. utility of a patient being healthy, or ill)
- **Decision making:**
  - uses expected utilities (denoted EU)

$$EU(X) = \sum_{x \in \Omega_X} P(X = x) U(X = x)$$

$U(X = x)$ the utility of outcome x

Important !!!

- Under some conditions on preferences **we can always design the utility function that fits our preferences**

Utility theory

- **Defines axioms on preferences** that involve uncertainty and ways to manipulate them.
- Uncertainty is modeled through **lotteries**
  - **Lottery:**

$$[p : A; (1 - p) : C]$$

    - Outcome A with probability p
    - Outcome C with probability (1-p)
- The following six constraints are known as the axioms of utility theory. The axioms are the most obvious semantic constraints on preferences with lotteries.
- **Notation:**

  $\succ$ - preferable

  $\sim$ - indifferent (equally preferable)

Axioms of the utility theory

- **Orderability:** Given any two states, a rational agent prefers one of them, else the two as equally preferable.

$$(A \succ B) \vee (B \succ A) \vee (A \sim B)$$

- **Transitivity:** Given any three states, if an agent prefers *A* to *B* and prefers *B* to C, the agent must prefer *A* to C.

$$(A \succ B) \wedge (B \succ C) \Rightarrow (A \succ C)$$

- **Continuity:** If some state *B* is between *A* and C in preference, then there is a *p* for which the rational agent will be indifferent between state B and the lottery in which A comes with probability p, C with probability (1-p).

$$(A \succ B \succ C) \Rightarrow \exists p\,[p : A; (1-p) : C] \sim B$$

Axioms of the utility theory

- **Substitutability:** If an agent is indifferent between two lotteries, *A* and *B*, then there is a more complex lottery in which A can be substituted with B.

$$(A \sim B) \Rightarrow [p : A; (1-p) : C] \sim [p : B; (1-p) : C]$$

- **Monotonicity:** If an agent prefers *A* to *B*, then the agent must prefer the lottery in which A occurs with a higher probability

$$(A \succ B) \Rightarrow (p > q \Leftrightarrow [p : A; (1-p) : B] \succ [q : A; (1-q) : B])$$

- **Decomposability:** Compound lotteries can be reduced to simpler lotteries using the laws of probability.

$$[p : A; (1-p) : [q : B; (1-q) : C]] \Rightarrow$$
$$[p : A; (1-p)q : B; (1-p)(1-q) : C]$$

Utility theory

If the agent obeys the axioms of the utility theory, then

1. there exists a real valued function U such that:

$$U(A) > U(B) \Leftrightarrow A \succ B$$

$$U(A) = U(B) \Leftrightarrow A \sim B$$

2. The utility of the lottery is the expected utility, that is the sum of utilities of outcomes weighted by their probability

$$U[p : A; (1 - p) : B] = pU(A) + (1 - p)U(B)$$

3. Rational agent makes the decisions in the presence of uncertainty by maximizing its expected utility

Utility functions

We can design a utility function that fits our preferences if they satisfy the axioms of utility theory.

- **But how to design the utility function for monetary values so that they incorporate the risk?**
- **What is the relation between utility function and monetary values?**
- Assume we loose or gain $1000.
  - Typically this difference is more significant for lower values (around $100 -1000) than for higher values (~ $1,000,000)
- What is the relation between utilities and monetary value for a typical person?

Utility functions

- What is the relation between utilities and monetary value for a typical person?
- **Concave function** that flattens at higher monetary values



Utility functions

- Expected utility of a sure outcome of 750



Utility functions

Assume a lottery L [0.5: 500, 0.5:1000]

- Expected value of the lottery = 750
- Expected utility of the lottery EU(L) is different:
  - EU(L) = 0.5U(500) + 0.5*U(1000)



Utility functions

- Expected utility of the lottery EU(lottery L) < EU(sure 750)



- **Risk aversion** – a bonus is required for undertaking the risk

Decision making with utility function

- Original problem with monetary outcomes



Decision making with the utility function

- Utility function log (x)